

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,517	07/11/2003	Peter A. Chiarelli	S-94,789	6591
35068	7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	Y OF CALIFORNIA S NATIONAL LABOR		WILCZEWSKI, MARY A	
P.O. BOX 166		ATORT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	S, NM 87545		2822	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			An			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-110			
	10/618,517	CHIARELLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mary Wilczewski	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for alloward 	•		erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-13,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,14 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 and addition defined definition of the definition depicts flot received.						
	,	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	ate atent Application (PTO-152	·)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,517

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2-6, 10-13,15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Qui et al., US 2004/0018295, in view of Hong et al., US 2003/0026898.

Qui et al. disclose a method of forming a multilayer thin film heterostructure in which one or more layers of polyanionic and polycationic polymer layers are spin coated onto a substrate. Following the deposition of one ionic polymer, the coated substrate material is dried before the deposition of the next ionic polymer layer having opposite charges, see paragraphs [0179], [0184], [0186]. Whereas Qui et al. disclose spin coating the ionic polymer layers onto the substrate, Qui et al. do not specifically disclose that the substrate is spinning during this applying step. Hong et al. also disclose a method of forming ultrathin multilayer films in which the substrate is spinning during application of an organic layer. Since the method of Hong et al. permits the formation of ultrathin organic layers, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the spin coating method of Hong et al. in which the substrate is spinning could be used in the

Art Unit: 2822

known method of Qui et al., since the spin coating technique of Hong et al. allows precise control over the thickness of the deposited layers.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9, 14, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited references disclose the formation of multiplayer thin film organic structures by various methods. Note in particular that Chabrecek et al. disclose that it is preferable to omit the drying steps, see paragraph [0056].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Wilczewski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,517 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner Tech Center 2800